

From: "Zhen, Davis"  
To: "Young, Howard S." <younghs@cdmsmith.com>  
"Sheldrake, Sean" <sheldrake.sean@epa.gov>  
CC: "Scott Coffey" <coffeyse@cdmsmith.com>  
"John Kern" (b) (6)  
"Silvertooth, Jason R." <silvertoothjr@cdmsmith.com>  
"Trump, Julee M." <trumpjm@cdmsmith.com>  
"Gustavson, Karl" <Gustavson.Karl@epa.gov>  
"Ebright, Stephanie" <EBRIGHT.STEPHANIE@EPA.GOV>  
Date: 4/23/2018 9:45:00 AM  
Subject: RE: Surface Sediment Recovery Depths - Response to EPA 4.20.18 deliberative 3

---

Thank you Howard.

Thanks,

\*\*\*\*\*

Davis Zhen, Manager  
Site Cleanup Unit 2  
Office of Environmental Cleanup  
1200 Sixth Avenue Suite 155  
M/S ECL – 122, Seattle, WA 98101  
Tel: (206) 553-7660  
Cell: (206) 437-5826

\*\*\*\*\*

---

**From:** Young, Howard S. [mailto:younghs@cdmsmith.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, April 23, 2018 9:12 AM  
**To:** Zhen, Davis <Zhen.Davis@epa.gov>; Sheldrake, Sean <sheldrake.sean@epa.gov>  
**Cc:** Scott Coffey <coffeyse@cdmsmith.com>; John Kern (b) (6); Silvertooth, Jason R. <silvertoothjr@cdmsmith.com>; Trump, Julee M. <trumpjm@cdmsmith.com>; Gustavson, Karl <Gustavson.Karl@epa.gov>; Ebright, Stephanie <EBRIGHT.STEPHANIE@EPA.GOV>  
**Subject:** RE: Surface Sediment Recovery Depths - Response to EPA 4.20.18 deliberative 3

Davis,  
I want to also point out that the AECOM field supervisors have said that their direction is to implement the AECOM 4 /20 sampling plan (not EPA's plan) if they hit less than 20 cm on dense sand. This is despite our oversight staff informing them that the plan is not acceptable or approved by EPA. A shutdown situation didn't come occur over the weekend because they were getting full recovery on all of the grabs, but it will likely happen today.

Howard S. Young, LG | CDM Smith  
14432 SE Eastgate Way, Suite 100 | Bellevue, WA 98007-6493  
T: 425.519.8300 | Direct 425.519.8351 | Cell 206.491.4663 | [younghs@cdmsmith.com](mailto:younghs@cdmsmith.com) | [www.cdmsmith.com](http://www.cdmsmith.com)

---

**From:** Young, Howard S.  
**Sent:** Monday, April 23, 2018 8:16 AM  
**To:** 'Zhen, Davis' <Zhen.Davis@epa.gov>; Sheldrake, Sean <sheldrake.sean@epa.gov>  
**Cc:** Coffey, Scott <CoffeySE@cdmsmith.com>; John Kern (b) (6); Silvertooth, Jason R. <silvertoothjr@cdmsmith.com>; Trump, Julee M. <trumpjm@cdmsmith.com>; Gustavson, Karl <Gustavson.Karl@epa.gov>; Ebright, Stephanie <EBRIGHT.STEPHANIE@EPA.GOV>  
**Subject:** RE: Surface Sediment Recovery Depths - Response to EPA 4.20.18 deliberative 3

Davis,  
Based on our oversight over the weekend, AECOM targeted only areas where they knew they would get good grab

penetration (based on RI data and sonar). They were able to get full penetration (20 – 30 cm) at all of the grabs so the need to implement a plan for contingency grabs didn't come up. Julee Trump is doing oversight today and will report back if the issue comes up today.

Howard S. Young, LG | CDM Smith  
14432 SE Eastgate Way, Suite 100 | Bellevue, WA 98007-6493  
T: 425.519.8300 | Direct 425.519.8351 | Cell 206.491.4663 | [younghs@cdmsmith.com](mailto:younghs@cdmsmith.com) | [www.cdmsmith.com](http://www.cdmsmith.com)

---

**From:** Zhen, Davis <[Zhen.Davis@epa.gov](mailto:Zhen.Davis@epa.gov)>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 23, 2018 8:01 AM  
**To:** Sheldrake, Sean <[sheldrake.sean@epa.gov](mailto:sheldrake.sean@epa.gov)>; Young, Howard S. <[younghs@cdmsmith.com](mailto:younghs@cdmsmith.com)>  
**Cc:** Coffey, Scott <[CoffeySE@cdmsmith.com](mailto:CoffeySE@cdmsmith.com)>; John Kern (b) (6); Silvertooth, Jason R. <[silvertoothjr@cdmsmith.com](mailto:silvertoothjr@cdmsmith.com)>; Trump, Julee M. <[trumpj@cdmsmith.com](mailto:trumpj@cdmsmith.com)>; Gustavson, Karl <[Gustavson.Karl@epa.gov](mailto:Gustavson.Karl@epa.gov)>; Ebright, Stephanie <[EBRIGHT.STEPHANIE@EPA.GOV](mailto:EBRIGHT.STEPHANIE@EPA.GOV)>  
**Subject:** RE: Surface Sediment Recovery Depths - Response to EPA 4.20.18 deliberative 3

Thanks everyone. Somehow my email was not working on my phone this weekend.

Sean, I agree that we need to run this up so upper management is aware of the continued delays on getting information. And we need a clear message on what is expected from them on a daily and weekly basis.

As for Anne's email, since we have not approved this plan, it's their proposal. When I spoke to her late last Friday, she said she was not going to have time to look at our diagram to make the changes.

Howard/Julie, could you let me know what procedures they were using the last couple of days?

Thanks,

\*\*\*\*\*

Davis Zhen, Manager  
Site Cleanup Unit 2  
Office of Environmental Cleanup  
1200 Sixth Avenue Suite 155  
M/S ECL – 122, Seattle, WA 98101  
Tel: (206) 553-7660  
Cell: (206) 437-5826

\*\*\*\*\*

---

**From:** Sheldrake, Sean  
**Sent:** Sunday, April 22, 2018 7:32 AM  
**To:** Young, Howard S. <[younghs@cdmsmith.com](mailto:younghs@cdmsmith.com)>  
**Cc:** Scott Coffey <[coffeyse@cdmsmith.com](mailto:coffeyse@cdmsmith.com)>; Zhen, Davis <[Zhen.Davis@epa.gov](mailto:Zhen.Davis@epa.gov)>; John Kern (b) (6); Silvertooth, Jason R. <[silvertoothjr@cdmsmith.com](mailto:silvertoothjr@cdmsmith.com)>; Trump, Julee M. <[trumpjm@cdmsmith.com](mailto:trumpjm@cdmsmith.com)>; Gustavson, Karl <[Gustavson.Karl@epa.gov](mailto:Gustavson.Karl@epa.gov)>; Ebright, Stephanie <[EBRIGHT.STEPHANIE@EPA.GOV](mailto:EBRIGHT.STEPHANIE@EPA.GOV)>  
**Subject:** Re: Surface Sediment Recovery Depths - Response to EPA 4.20.18 deliberative 3

Thanks Howard. S

Sean Sheldrake, RPM  
Unit Diving Officer  
206.225.6528

Sent from my iPhone

On Apr 21, 2018, at 10:45 AM, Young, Howard S. <[younghs@cdmsmith.com](mailto:younghs@cdmsmith.com)> wrote:

Sean,

This morning I talked to Scott about this and we are working on an increased oversight plan for Davis and your review.

Howard S. Young, LG | CDM Smith  
14432 SE Eastgate Way, Suite 100 | Bellevue, WA 98007-6493  
T: 425.519.8300 | Direct 425.519.8351 | Cell 206.491.4663 | [younghs@cdmsmith.com](mailto:younghs@cdmsmith.com) |  
[www.cdmsmith.com](http://www.cdmsmith.com)

---

**From:** Sheldrake, Sean <[sheldrake.sean@epa.gov](mailto:sheldrake.sean@epa.gov)>  
**Sent:** Saturday, April 21, 2018 8:40 AM  
**To:** Coffey, Scott <[CoffeySE@cdmsmith.com](mailto:CoffeySE@cdmsmith.com)>  
**Cc:** Zhen. Davis <[Zhen.Davis@epa.gov](mailto:Zhen.Davis@epa.gov)>; Young, Howard S. <[younghs@cdmsmith.com](mailto:younghs@cdmsmith.com)>; John Kern (b) (6) <[REDACTED]>; Silvertooth, Jason R. <[silvertoothjr@cdmsmith.com](mailto:silvertoothjr@cdmsmith.com)>; Trump, Julee M. <[trumpjm@cdmsmith.com](mailto:trumpjm@cdmsmith.com)>; Gustavson, Karl <[Gustavson.Karl@epa.gov](mailto:Gustavson.Karl@epa.gov)>; Ebright, Stephanie <[EBRIGHT.STEPHANIE@EPA.GOV](mailto:EBRIGHT.STEPHANIE@EPA.GOV)>  
**Subject:** Re: Surface Sediment Recovery Depths - Response to EPA 4.20.18 deliberative 2

That's a helpful rundown Scott.

Davis, I'd recommend another shutdown reminder Monday if they can't agree to real time submittals of this data (weekly? In a format that John can easily evaluate) to make sure we're on track, agreement to the objective on the archived samples, and, overall, more responsive behavior to our requests, generally.

I would further recommend running this specific citation up to Chris to give an example of their behavior vis-à-vis unnecessary delays in responding to our request for information on both this incredibly critical component to our data quality as well as the location determination fiasco.

To be blunt their behavior does anything but engender trust.

Therefore- Scott please work up a plan to increase oversight levels including using DEQ staff to accomplish this for Davis and I to review.

Thanks

S

Sean Sheldrake, RPM  
Unit Diving Officer  
206.225.6528  
Sent from my iPhone

On Apr 21, 2018, at 8:18 AM, Coffey, Scott <[CoffeySE@cdmsmith.com](mailto:CoffeySE@cdmsmith.com)> wrote:

My initial thoughts:

Finally good to receive this information from them. 10% of samples where this occurs seems pretty low, but they're not done yet. The take home lesson for them that I don't think they understand is that they need to provide information like this to us sooner (especially when we ask for it) so we can review the complete field information and avoid getting into threatening Shut Down situations to get them to produce this info. I'm sure they have been collecting this info in this format all along. According to my records, we asked them for this information on Wednesday April 11<sup>th</sup> and it took them until April 20<sup>th</sup> to provide it – too long.

I don't see any difference in their new approach (presented in their complicated flow chart) with what they've been doing all along, except that now (if approved) they will be allowed to keep and analyze a composite sample that has grabs <20cm.

Even at 10%, the EPA approach provides a comparison and data for statistical evaluation of the potential bias between samples at a primary location that have grabs less than 20cm with a sample that meets the criteria. Granted, this is an additional step, but one that has arisen due to some locations (despite the tools' robustness) it is not able to penetrate in hard sediment. My understanding from John's emails is that the additional sample collection in these areas will allow us to evaluate if an equipment bias is introduced in these areas. The Pre-RD Group doesn't seem to understand this objective yet.

That's my initial thoughts.

Scott

---

**From:** Zhen, Davis <[Zhen.Davis@epa.gov](mailto:Zhen.Davis@epa.gov)>  
**Sent:** Friday, April 20, 2018 6:48 PM  
**To:** Sheldrake, Sean <[sheldrake.sean@epa.gov](mailto:sheldrake.sean@epa.gov)>; Coffey, Scott <[CoffeySE@cdmsmith.com](mailto:CoffeySE@cdmsmith.com)>; Young, Howard S. <[younghs@cdmsmith.com](mailto:younghs@cdmsmith.com)>; Trump, Julee M. <[trumpjm@cdmsmith.com](mailto:trumpjm@cdmsmith.com)>  
**Subject:** Fwd: Surface Sediment Recovery Depths - Response to EPA 4.20.18

FYI

Thanks,

Sent from my iPhone, please excuse typos

\*\*\*\*\*

Davis Zhen, Manager  
Environmental Cleanup Unit 2  
Office of Environmental Cleanup  
[1200 Sixth Avenue Suite 900](#)  
M/S ECL – 122, [Seattle, WA 98101](#)  
Tel: [\(206\) 553-7660](tel:(206)553-7660)  
Cell: [\(206\) 437-5826](tel:(206)437-5826)

\*\*\*\*\*

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** Anne Fitzpatrick <[AFitzpatrick@Geosyntec.com](mailto:AFitzpatrick@Geosyntec.com)>  
**Date:** April 20, 2018 at 6:06:11 PM PDT  
**To:** "Zhen, Davis" <[Zhen.Davis@epa.gov](mailto:Zhen.Davis@epa.gov)>, "Tyrrell, Ken" <[ken.tyrrell@aecom.com](mailto:ken.tyrrell@aecom.com)>  
**Subject:** Surface Sediment Recovery Depths - Response to EPA 4.20.18

Dear Davis,

On behalf of Pre-RD Project Coordinator Ken Tyrell (who is on a plane) I am sending this email on behalf of the technical team regarding surface sediment sampling and recovery depths. The attached materials are in response to the EPA's correspondence dated April 12, 2018, and our discussions earlier today by phone. Thanks for sending the diagram outlining Bowl 1 and Bowl 2 protocols for grab sampling; we have not had time to digest this graphic. However, we've asked the field crew to prioritize stations over the weekend where > 20 cm recovery is easily expected. Four attachments (based on earlier discussions today) include:

- Draft Decision Flow Chart for modifying the FSP sampling protocol based on difficult sample recovery conditions
- Summary table of the 13 PDI locations not obtaining 20 cm recovery depth, notes on # of attempts made, and depth of recovery
- Summary of LWG RI depth recoveries for surface samples with recovery
- Figure presenting the 13 PDI locations (< 20 cm depth) compared to RI /FS Existing Debris within the Study Area

#### Discussion

1. The Decision Flow Chart (and Narrative on page 2) describes a step-by-step procedure for the Field Team to efficiently collect composite samples, especially in areas with refusal (jaws don't close, or no sediment in the grab) and difficult/poor recovery (less than 10 cm) after multiple grabs.
  - a. Clarifies a target depth goal of >20 cm at the primary location
  - b. Clarifies a minimum acceptable average depth of 10 cm or greater
  - c. We are balancing the desire to achieve >20 cm sample depths with the time/effort expended collecting multiple grabs and moving from Primary to Alternate 1 to Alternate 2 locations (max about 10 attempts per grid location). We are trying to achieve the goal of obtaining samples from the primary locations.
2. To date, we have accepted 13 locations with substation samples below the target 20 cm (~10% of the data collected so far); most of these depths were between 10 and 19 cm (See Surface Sampling Recovery Summary table). Several attempts were made at each station to obtain better samples and the field notes documented difficult conditions including refusal debris, rocks, logs, and riprap in many of the grabs, or hardpan/minimal sediment. One sample location, PDI-SG-055-BL1, is only a 2-point composite because of refusal. We believe these samples are representative of site conditions and are acceptable for use. We are working on a more detailed table showing sample recoveries – will send next week.
3. A query of existing RI surface sediment grab data show that 35% of the surface sediment samples collected for the RI were < 30 cm, but 0% were less than 10 cm (see attached histogram graphs and scatterplots).
  - a. In addition, we looked at the 2012 Draft RI/FS Debris Figure (Figure 2.1-5) – a lot of debris was noted in the vicinity of these PDI locations.
  - b. RI grab samples in close proximity to our PDI samples typically recovered sediment between 20 and 30 cm, however these were typically located in deeper water compared to our samples, and not 3-point composites. We are uncertain if these RI locations were original locations or moved due to site conditions/refusal. Many of the difficult conditions we encountered are in the nearshore areas. Changing the field equipment or methods will not resolve the issues/conditions that we are encountering; a

- b. power grab is already being used with 1000 ft/lbs of closing force, and field crews are actively changing the weights to improve the penetration. *A couple of photos attached below.*
- 4. A figure showing the 13 PDI locations that accepted a substation sample below the target 20 cm and the RI debris map. The stations are well distributed through the site, and mostly in nearshore areas where debris was noted during the RI.

Based on the information provided above, we are (i) proceeding to run all 13 samples for chemical testing and (ii) implementing the Decision Flow Chart for field staff. Although the collection depths were below the 20cm target depth described in the FS we believe these are acceptable for use. The average recovery depth is > 10 cm, and is consistent with Section 1.2 of the FSP that allows for collection of samples with lesser depth under certain conditions such as those encountered. Excerpted below:

*Surface sediment will be collected from a target depth of 0- to 30-centimeter depths, consistent with the RI (Integral 2004). A minimum depth of 10 centimeters will be considered acceptable (especially if sampling on a sediment cap).*

We can set up a conference call with your technical team early next week to discuss/walk through our analysis and path forward.

Have a great weekend.

Regards,  
Anne

<image001.jpg>

<image002.jpg>

**Anne Fitzpatrick, LHG**  
**Senior Principal**

-----  
Geosyntec Consultants  
520 Pike Street, Suite 1375  
Seattle, WA 98101  
Direct: 206-496-1461  
Office: 206-496-1450  
Cell: 206-963-8199  
Email [afitzpatrick@geosyntec.com](mailto:afitzpatrick@geosyntec.com)

This electronic mail message contains information that (a) is or may be LEGALLY PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENTIAL, PROP NATURE, OR OTHERWISE PROTECTED BY LAW FROM DISCLOSURE, and (b) is intended only for the use of the Add named herein. If you are not the intended recipient, an addressee, or the person responsible for delivering this to an addre are hereby notified that reading, using, copying, or distributing any part of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have re electronic mail message in error, please contact us immediately and take the steps necessary to delete the message com your computer system.

 Please consider the environment before printing this email.

<4\_Figure 1\_PH\_RIDebris\_SampleLocations\_042018.pdf>

<3\_RI GrabSampleDepths\_Histograms\_20190418\_toEPA.XLSX>

<1\_Surface Sampling Recovery Less20cm 042018\_toEPA.pdf>

<2\_Decision Flow Chart Surface Grabs\_042018\_toEPA.PDF>